

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JENNIFER ALLAN,	)	
	)	NO. CV S-01-2231 GEB GGH
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
v.	)	
	)	<u>ORDER</u>
CITY OF MARYSVILLE, et al.,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	
_____	)	

Plaintiff's request for a continuance of the motion Defendants filed on April 18, 2005, is denied. Defendants oppose the request. The basis of the request is twofold: Plaintiff argues her counsel will not be able to attend the May 31, 2005, scheduled hearing on the motion and Plaintiff's counsel will not have reasonable access to a law library or the file in this case until he completes a trial in Southern California.

The first basis is not a reason for the continuance because the motion is determined to be suitable for decision without oral argument. L.R. 78-230(h). The second basis is an unsupported conclusory assertion, and therefore is insufficient to justify the requested continuance.

Accordingly, the request is denied.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 6, 2005

/s/ Garland E. Burrell, Jr.  
GARLAND E. BURRELL, JR.  
United States District Judge